CF0000057824

Cr Appeal 574

APPEAL OF PRISONER /90 Division Bench (Criminal) (2)

No. 2631 Name [illegible] 4103

Father's Name [illegible] Residence [illegible]

Age [illegible] Sentenced to [illegible]

on 13-3-90 Under section 302 I.P.C. by [illegible]

S.T.N. 87/88

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by a legal practitioner the Appelate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear with in seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement : A/o
2. Date of which copy received : 13-3-90
3. Date on which Appeal sent : 19-3-90
4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not : Yes / ~~No~~

No. 2631 Name [illegible]

Continued in C.I.88/M.3/90 Jail JABALPUR

No. 4266/Cr. dated 19/3/90

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE JABALPUR to gather with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appilliate Court.

Superintendent Central/District/Sub Jail

Date of receipt in C.J.M.'s Office 23/3/90

Date of receipt of record to accompany Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate Court

No. 13/90 Dated 26/3/90

Forwarded to the Session Judge Jabalpur

C.J.Magistrate

CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
JABALPUR

Date of receipt in Appliate court

(10)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... Cr.A. 574/90 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench : **HON'BLE SHRI FAKHRUDDIN,** **HON'BLE SHRI DILIP RAOSAHEB DESHMUKH, JJ** **28.06.2005** None for the appellant. Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, P.L. for the State. Shri Rajput submits that the appellant-Mandvi Pandu has been released on 26-1-2002 from Central Jail, Jagdalpur on the eve of Republic Day upon completion of sentence. When the case is called neither the appellant nor his counsel is present. It is indicative of the fact that the appellant is not interested in pursuing his appeal any more. In the above circumstances and in the light of decision of the Apex Court rendered in the case of ***Ram Naresh Yadav and others vs. State of Bihar*** reported in ***AIR 1987 SC 1500,*** the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge	

Anjani

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000